

## Exercise Introduction

Before attempting the exercises in this document please ensure that you have read and understood the key topics covered in tutorial.

## Contents

<b>Task 1: IO Benchmarking (20 min)</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Optional Task 2: <span style="color: red;">Optional: Compute Benchmarking (20 min)</span></b>	<b>4</b>

## Task 1: IO Benchmarking (20 min)

As benchmarking could help to understand a system's performance, this task is designed to create a simple small-scale IO500 benchmarking test for estimating or learning how to run IO benchmarking using the IOR (throughput) and mdtest (metadata) tests from the IO500 suite. Understand how to interpret basic I/O performance results in an HPC context and gain hands-on experience with compiling and running a lightweight benchmark on a shared HPC resource. (Notes: When details contain typos mistake then understand it is not intentional and the reviewers has also failed to locate them.)

Task Structure:

### 1. Introduction to Key IO500 Tests

- **Goal:** Understand the basic components of the **IOR** and **mdtest** benchmarks.
- **IOR:** Measures the sequential read/write performance of the file system. Relevant for testing the bandwidth and throughput of HPC storage systems.
- **mdtest:** Measures the metadata operations performance, such as file creation, file stat (status checks), and file deletion. Relevant for workloads that involve managing a large number of files or frequent file system operations.

### 2. Part 1: Accessing the HPC Resources and collecting the test results.

- **Step 1:** Access the Cluster

SSH into the SCC cluster:

```
bash
```

```
$ ssh username@cluster-address
```

```
e.g., ssh -i ssh_fileid username@login-mdc.hpc.gwdg.de
```

- **Step 2:** To run the IO benchmark test do the following:

- Load the necessary modules for **MPI** and **GCC**:

```
bash
```

---

```
$ module load mpi gcc
```

- Create a working directory for the benchmark tests:

```
bash
```

```
$ mkdir ./io500-small-exercise
```

```
$ cd ./io500-small-exercise
```

- **Step 3:** Installing the Required Tools

- Clone the IO500 repository from GitHub:

```
bash
```

```
$ git clone https://github.com/IO500/io500.git
```

```
$ cd io500
```

- Compile the IO500 benchmark:

```
bash
```

```
$ make
```

- **Step 4:** Running a Small-Scale IOR Test

- Goal: Run a simple IOR test to measure read/write throughput on a small dataset.

- Set up a scratch directory for the IOR test (can be on a shared parallel file system or local node storage):

```
bash
```

```
$ mkdir ./scratch/ior-small
```

- Create an ior-small.ini configuration file to limit the dataset size:

```
bash
```

```
$ nano ior-small.ini
```

- Inside ior-small.ini, configure a small IOR test (adjust the block size and transfer size for a quick run):

```
ini
```

```
$ [global]
```

```
api = POSIX
```

```
transferSize = 1m
```

```
blockSize = 64m
```

```
repetitions = 1
```

- Run the IOR test using the following command:

```
bash
```

```
$ mpirun -np 4 ./ior -f ior-small.ini -o
```

```
./scratch/ior-small/ior-output
```

- Analyze the IOR output, which will report the read/write bandwidth (in MB/s or GB/s).

- **Step 5:** Running a Small-Scale mdtest

- Goal: Run a simple mdtest to evaluate metadata performance (file creation, stat, and deletion) on a small dataset.

- 
- Create a directory for the mdtest run:

```
bash
```

```
$ mkdir ./scratch/mdtest-small
```

- Run mdtest with a reduced number of files (e.g., 1000 files) using this command:

```
bash
```

```
$ mpirun -np 4 ./mdtest -d ./scratch/mdtest-small -n 1000 -u -L
```

- \* -n 1000 specifies the number of files to create.
- \* -u ensures unique working directories for each process.
- \* -L performs file stat operations.

- Once the test completes, the output will show the file creation rate, stat performance, and deletion performance.

### 3. Part 2: Analyzing the Results

- **IOR Results:** Look at the reported write and read bandwidth. Discuss how these metrics reflect the file system's ability to handle sequential I/O operations.
- **mdtest Results:** Examine the file creation rate (files/s), stat rate, and deletion rate. Discuss how file system metadata operations can impact performance in real HPC workloads (e.g., running simulations that generate many small files).

### 4. Part 3: Class Discussion

- **Goal:** To think critically about the results and storage performance.
  - Why might the read performance differ from the write performance?
  - What are some factors that could affect metadata performance on an HPC system?
  - How would these results change if we increased the number of files or used a larger block size in IOR?
- Explore potential optimizations (e.g., increasing the number of MPI processes, changing the block size, or utilizing a different file system).

## Hints

- **Example solution:**

- **IOR:** A small IOR test with a block size of 64MB and transfer size of 1MB should finish quickly with minimal load.
- Example IOR output:
  - \* Write bandwidth: 200 MB/s
  - \* Read bandwidth: 180 MB/s
- **mdtest:** A small mdtest with 1000 files can provide a quick evaluation of metadata performance.
- Example mdtest output:
  - \* File creation rate: 30,000 files/s

- \* File stat rate: 25,000 files/s
- \* File deletion rate: 28,000 files/s

- **Discussion Points:**

- You should notice that small-scale IOR runs are sufficient to demonstrate throughput bottlenecks, and mdtest offers insight into metadata handling efficiency.
- You can also explore how increasing the number of files, adjusting block size, or running more processes would affect performance on the limited resources available.

- **Further Reading/References:**

- **IO500** Documentation (<https://github.com/VI4IO/io-500-dev/blob/master/doc/README.md>)
- **IOR** Benchmark User Guide (<https://media.readthedocs.org/pdf/ior/latest/ior.pdf>)
- **mdtest** User Guide ([https://www.illko.cz/images/dokumenty/mdtest\\_manual\\_en.pdf](https://www.illko.cz/images/dokumenty/mdtest_manual_en.pdf))

## Optional Task 2: **Optional: Compute Benchmarking (20 min)**

This is a difficult **additional** task which will strengthen your understanding in the topic.

As benchmarking could help to understand a system's performance, this task is designed to create a simple benchmarking test program for estimating or measuring the compute performance of a system. For this, you could use your own choice of programming language and the guidelines for the tasks are as follows:

### Exercise: MPI Matrix Multiplication Benchmark

Before attempting the exercises in this document please ensure that you have read and understood the key topics covered in tutorial.

#### Objective:

Benchmark the performance of matrix multiplication using MPI on an SCC cluster (your account). This exercise will involve writing a simple MPI program, varying the number of processes, and measuring the execution time to understand scalability.

To do this exercise you will need:

- Access to an SCC cluster with MPI installed.
- Basic knowledge of MPI programming (e.g., sending and receiving messages).
- Familiarity with compiling and running MPI programs.
- You will require python packages like MPI and numpy.

#### Contents

- Complete the given MPI program to perform matrix multiplication.

1. You could take help from "Parallel Computing: Basic Principles" course by Oswald Haan on Friday (05.04.2024).

2. a) Skeleton code for function 1 (language python):

```
1 def matrix_multiply(A, B):
2     return np.dot(A, B)
```

b) Skeleton Code for Function 2 (language python):

```
1     /* Main function. */
2     from mpi4py import MPI
3     import numpy as np
4
5
6     if __name__ == "__main__":
7         /* Declaring the required variables and the required MPI functions. */
8
9         # Matrix dimensions
10        N = 100
11        M = 100
12        K = 100
13
14
15        # Create random matrices A and B
16        np.random.seed(42)
17        A = np.random.rand(N, M)
18        B = np.random.rand(M, K)
19
20
21        # Your code for MPI Functions
22
23
24
25
26        # Your code for splitting matrices among processes
27
28
29
30
31        # Your code for perform local matrix multiplication
32
33
34
35
36        # Your code for gathering results
37
38
39
40
41        if rank == 0:
42            # Combine results
43            result = np.concatenate(C, axis=0)
44            print("Result Matrix (C):")
45            print(result)
46        }
47
48        /* Finally, test and compile the code. Keep the file name "mpi_matrix_multiply.py"*/
```

3. Compile and run the program.

– Compile the program using mpicc or mpifort, depending on your MPI compiler:

```
1 mpicc -o mpi_matrix_multiply mpi_matrix_multiply.py
```

4. Run the program with varying numbers of MPI processes using the bash script:

```
1 mpiexec -n <num_processes> ./mpi_matrix_multiply
```

5. Measure the execution time for each run using `time` or `mpiexec`'s built-in timing options.

- Now, do the benchmarking using your program (known as strong and weak scaling benchmark).
- Vary the number of MPI processes (`-n`) from 1 to a suitable maximum based on the available cores in your cluster.
- Record the execution time for each run.
- Plot a graph showing the scalability of the matrix multiplication with respect to the number of processes.
- X-axis: Number of MPI processes
- Y-axis: Execution time
- Analysis:
  - Analyze the benchmark results to understand the scalability of the matrix multiplication program.
  - Look for trends in execution time as the number of processes increases.
  - Identify any bottlenecks or inefficiencies in the program or the cluster configuration.
  - Summarize (short-document) and complete your benchmarking analysis.
  - Include all the details you argue relevant on your critical thinking.
  - For convenience you could also store your records in a CSV file, with the file name "hpctrainingNN.csv".
- Discussion:
  - How does the execution time change with an increasing number of processes?
  - Does the program exhibit strong or weak scaling?
  - What factors might contribute to the observed scalability?
  - How could the program or the cluster configuration be optimized for better performance?

## Hints

- You could also create and include graphs and chart plots as felt necessary.
- Here "NN" is your user code. You could also share your findings during the session for the feedback.
- You can modify the matrix dimensions (N, M, K) to vary the size of the matrices and observe their impact on performance.
- We encourage you to experiment with different MPI functions, matrix sizes, and cluster configurations to deepen your understanding of HPC benchmarking.