Introduction
Case Studies / Main Publications

- Is Scrum and XP suitable for Computational Science and Engineering (CSE) Development? (2012) [Blo12]
- Limitations of Agile Processes 2002 [TFR02], 2009 [Col09], 2014/2015 [MVS15]
- What Do We Know about Scientific Software Development’s Agile Practices? [SHPL12]

Introduction
Business Oriented Software Development Methods vs. CSE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Commercial SD Methods</th>
<th>CSE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>large-scale business oriented projects</td>
<td>large &amp; small-scale scientific projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>long expected life time</td>
<td>some projects with long, most with short expected life time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>need maintenance and further development during their life time</td>
<td>written for a particular purpose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>developed by a group of people or even many groups</td>
<td>developed by a single researcher or a small group of researchers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>large user base</td>
<td>smaller potential user base</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>development methods used by experienced developers</td>
<td>CSE systems developers on the other hand have often less experience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>experienced in development methods</td>
<td>risk getting entangled in details and overhead</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As the needs from CSE differ from what traditional SD offers, a new approach might be needed or might be beneficial.

CSE Development & Agile Development

Does the “Agile Manifesto” Support CSE Development?

| Customer Satisfaction |  ● |
| Embrace Change        | ●  | *  | 0 |
| Frequent Deliveries   | ●  |
| Work Together         | ●  |
| Motivated Individuals | ●  | *  | X |
| Face-to-face Conversation | ●  |   | X |
| Working Software      | ●  | *  | 0 |
| Sustainable Development | ●  |
| Technical Excellence  | ●  |   | X |
| Simplicity            | ●  |
| Self-organizing Teams | ●  |   | X |
| Self-reflection       | ●  |

Table: Does the "Agile Manifesto" Support CSE Development? [Blo12]

Interim Conclusion

All points are judged as relevant or extra relevant. Working according to an agile method should hence be beneficial for CSE development. [Blo12]
Limitations of Agile Processes

Stand 2002 [TFR02]
Limited support for:
- subcontracting
- development involving large teams
- distributed development environments
- building reusable artifacts
- developing safety-critical software
- developing large, complex software

Stand 2009 [Col09]
- Agile designed by stars for a team of stars
- Doesn’t fit with organizational culture
- Team ownership vs. individual accountability (Reward model)
- Limited support for development involving large teams
- Limited support for distributed development environments
- Almost no focus on methodology (analysis, architecture, implementation, project management, configuration management...)

Stand 2015
- Customer unavailability [MVS15]
- Support for development involving large teams
  - Large-Scale Scrum (LeSS) for large teams (controversial) [Wiki]
- Support for distributed development environments
  - Distributed development [Lsd13] (Can you still call it agile?)
- Insufficient requirements gathering [MVS15]
- Turnover Team [MVS15]
- Software Maintenance [MVS15]

Interim Conclusion
Some negative points haven’t been addressed over the years
Disadvantages of Agile model

- **Guessing the Required Effort**
  In case of some software deliverables, especially the large ones, it is difficult to assess the effort required at the beginning of the software development life cycle.

- **Design and Documentation**
  There is lack of emphasis on necessary designing and documentation.

- **Lack of Focus**
  The project can easily get taken off track if the customer representative is not clear what final outcome they want.

- **Lack of Expertise**
  Only senior programmers are capable of taking the kind of decisions required during the development process. Hence it has no place for newbie programmers, unless combined with experienced resources.

When to use Agile Model

- **Freedom of Options**
  Having options gives them the ability to leave important decisions until more or better data or even entire hosting programs are available; meaning the project can continue to move forward without fear of reaching a sudden standstill. [Cer15]

- **Less Documentation is Acceptable**
  The agile methodology is not setting a lot of focus on design and documentation, these can’t be critical in the project.

![Scrum Alliance](Taken from www.scrumalliance.org)

When to use the Agile Model

- **Embrace Change**
  When new changes are needed to be implemented. The freedom agile gives to change is very important. New changes can be implemented at very little cost because of the frequency of new increments that are produced. [Cer15]

- **New Features**
  To implement a new feature the developers need to lose only the work of a few days, or even only hours, to roll back and implement it. Changes can be discussed and features can be newly effected or removed based on feedback. [Cer15]

- **Starting Quickly**
  Unlike the waterfall model in agile model very limited planning is required to get started with the project. [Cer15]
Scrum

How do the Scrum Techniques and Methodologies Support CSE Development

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table: SCRAM [Blo12]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Product Owner</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Team</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Scrum Master</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sprints</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Backlogs</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Burndown Chart</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sprint Planning Meeting</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sprint Review</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

less relevant: (no dot) | relevant: ● | extra relevant: ✡

Scrum

Interim Conclusion

Scrum is “on average” relevant and would work well for CSE development.[Blo12]

A Detailed Look into Scrum

Product Owner

less relevant[Blo12]

Scrum

- The customer (or product owner) is a single point of interaction with the development team

CSE

- In CSE there might not be an external customer at all and this is hence deemed as less relevant

Product owner less relevant?!

... One person should be the product owner that serves as the mediator between the customer organization and the Scrum team. ... In projects without an external product owner, the team itself needs to act as the product owner ... difficult in some cases.[Blo12]

Pitfall

A common mistake is to have the product owner role filled by someone from the development team [Rot14] (or none at all!)

According to Johanna Rothman this is a mistake.

- “When the business is unaccountable, the agile ecosystem breaks down.” [Rot14]
- “It’s iterative and incremental, but it’s not even close to Scrum. It’s not agile.” [Rot14]
Scrum

- Recommended Size: 3-5-7 max 9

Working in teams require more than one person per definition. For lone researchers this would hence be less relevant than for typical SE projects that are normally done in groups, but for larger CSE projects, teams would be as relevant as for non-CSE projects. [Blo12]

Scrum Master

- Pitfall

Another common pitfall is for a scrum master to act as a contributor. [Blo12]

While not prohibited by the Scrum methodology, the scrum master needs to ensure they have the capacity to act in the role of scrum master first and not working on tasks for the project.

Any team running Scrum would need a scrum master and CSE teams would be no different. [Blo12]

For more tips how to balance the roles visit:
Mission Possible: ScrumMaster and Technical Contributor, Page 3

XP

- Extra relevant [Blo12]

From frame: When to use Agile Model

- Embrace Change
- New Features
- Freedom of Options

Working in sprints, i.e. in iterations where a small part of the system is finished in each sprint, would suit a CSE developer well since the exact specification of the system might not be available at first. The more uncertainty there is, the more difficult it is to specify a complete requirements list directly. [Blo12]

- XP is sometimes called "Scrum Compact"
- All the aspects of extreme programming are working together as a whole. Abandoning even one aspect might spoil the whole process
Interim Conclusion

All key points of XP are relevant, except Incremental Development that was graded as extra relevant. XP would in other words suit CSE development well. [Blo12]

- In practical examples pair programming is seldom used. [SHPL12]
- All the aspects of extreme programming are working together as a whole. Abandoning even one aspect might spoil the whole process.

Table: XP [Blo12]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>FenliCS*</th>
<th>Dalton</th>
<th>Olga</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scientific domain</td>
<td>Mathematcal (automated solution of differential equations)</td>
<td>Chemistry (molecular electronic structures)</td>
<td>Physics (flow modeling of oil, gas, and natural water)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of contributors</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration</td>
<td>10 years</td>
<td>30 years</td>
<td>30 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Programming languages</td>
<td>C++, Python</td>
<td>Fortran 77/90, C, C++</td>
<td>Fortran, C++, C#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chosen process method</td>
<td>No specific</td>
<td>No specific</td>
<td>Scrum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distributed development</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Availability</td>
<td>Free, open source</td>
<td>Free, licensed</td>
<td>Proprietary</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure: Characteristics of the case study projects
**Practical Examples**

**Presence of Agile Practices**

- 13 out of 35 agile practices are used in projects developing scientific software.[SHPL12]
- Practice 21 (all production code is pair programmed) had clear evidence that it’s not used in most of the projects.[SHPL12]

**Interim Conclusion**

Agile practices are indeed present in projects developing scientific software. ...we couldn’t find clear positive evidence as to their application.[SHPL12]

**Summary**

**Wrap-Up**

- Under the right circumstances agile development suits CSE development very well
- Agile practices are not about "I" but about "we". It's about communication and feedback
- Agile practices are best used if you need to be flexible about change, new features and have freedom of options
- In practice not all agile methods are used even in projects dedicated to agile development
- People need to be experienced to use, adapt and understand the limits of agile methods

---

**Summary**

**Assessment of Agile Methods**

- Do not rely on Agile solely as a process that will solve all the problems

---

**Summary**

**Avoiding Pitfalls**

- Before adopting agile methods for scientific development look up the common mistakes that have been made again and again in the last 20 Years. And make sure the project environment fits the agile principles.
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