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Introduction

Goals of the Virtual Institute for I/O

- To provide a platform for I/O researchers and enthusiasts to exchange infos
- To foster international collaboration in the field of high-performance I/O
- To track deployment of large storage systems by hosting a storage list

Web page: http://www.vi4io.org
Introduction

Philosophical cornerstones of the institute

- To allow participation of everybody without a membership fee
- To treat every member and participant equally
- To be an independent organization
  - Independent of vendors and research facilities
Open Organization

- The organization uses a wiki as central hub
  - Everybody (registered users) can edit the content
  - Mayor changes should be discussed (see below)
  - The wiki uses tag clouds to link between similar entities
- Supported by mailing lists
  - Call-for-papers
  - Announce list for relevant information
  - Contribute list to discuss and steer organizational issues
- Mayor changes should be discussed on the contribute mailing list
- Members can vote for changes

*Everybody is welcome to participate*
Supporters

Honoring early contributors

- Jay Lofstead
- Colin McMurtrie
- Hans Ole Hatzel
- Thomas Walther
- Phil Carns
Wiki Content

- Groups involved in high-performance storage
  *Overview of research groups and industry (companies involved in research)*
    - Product development the group is involved in
    - Research projects (with links to their source)
    - Tags for layers, products and knowledge
- Tools: *Overview of relevant tools with small descriptions*
  - Types of tools: analysis, benchmarking, I/O middleware
  - Tags for layers and features
- High-performance storage list (HPSL)
  *Similar to many other lists, e.g., Top500, Graph500*
    - Due to the nature of I/O no simple metric
    - Editable and owned by the community
- Internal section
  *Provides templates and describes rules for editing the page*
Demo and Quick Question Round

http://www.vi4io.org
Group Tags

Layers

- Describe the abstraction level in the file system stack
  - block storage, object storage, file system, middleware, tape, grid, cloud
- You may add a specific software as well (MPIIO, ...)

Knowledge

- Orthogonal
  - data management, energy-efficiency, machine learning, compression, deduplication, big data, modeling, virtualization, monitoring, simulation
- You may add a specific software as well (GPFS, HPSS, MPICH)

Products

- Specific software products, e.g., MPICH
- Development of software the group is involved in
High-Performance Storage List

Obstacles

- Storage systems are heterogeneous
  - Storage hardware: SSDs, HDDs, NVRAM
  - Availability of optimizations for random and sequential workloads
  - High-level concepts, e.g., staging (K Computer), burst buffers

- Representativeness of a single metric / benchmark
  - Workloads are very diverse, what do we want to measure?
  - With a fitting benchmark systems extract close to peak performance
  - With another benchmark only 1/100 th of performance

- Runtime for executing a benchmark
  - Executing a specific I/O benchmarks may take quite some time
  - Are you willing to pay for it just to be included on a storage list?
Approach

Strategy

- Community-managed list tracking many characteristics
- List elements: supercomputers and supporting storage infrastructure

Overcoming obstacles

- Storage systems are heterogeneous
  - Communicate a system model that fits most use cases
- Representativeness of a single metric / benchmark
  - Rely mostly on theoretic values
  - Allow users to utilize any benchmark/app to determine sustained performance
- Runtime for executing a benchmark
  - Optional values: a site can publish computers with a subset of values
  - No overhead, since users can use their own benchmark
System Model

Relevant components

- General system information
  - Energy consumption (system, shared s.)
  - Compute peak and memory capacity
- Local storage: used/offered by compute
- Shared storage: available from all nodes
- Nearline storage: high latency

See: http://www.vi4io.org/hpsl/metrics
System Model

- **Local storage:** individually accessible by only on a node
  - Accumulate characteristics of useable local storage
    - Characteristics for system storage that is not available to users are not counted
  - May cover node-local NVRAM
  - Especially useful for integrated staging solutions (e.g. with K Computer)
  - People may run parallel file systems on top of local storage

- **Shared storage:** must be accessible from all compute nodes
  - Usually parallel file system/object storage
  - If multiple storage systems are available to one system, aggregate them
    - Only do this if they are similar, otherwise use the best characteristics
  - May use a burst-buffer transparently

- **Nearline storage:** involves high latency
  - Tape archives, MAID systems, blue ray changer, Amazon Glacier
  - Provides a cache, drives (HDDs for MAID, tape drives)
Collected Information

Peak Performance
- Theoretical value based on hardware limits
  - e.g. network (server) throughput, SATA limits
- Best performance of one server x number of servers.
- Describe in the text how the peak is computed

Sustained Performance
- Actually observed performance with an application or benchmark
- You can use any benchmark and measurement protocol
- Just make sure you are not measuring cache effects
- Describe in the text how the value has been measured
Collected Information

Tags

- Describe hardware and software features individually
- Include coarse grained and fine grained information
  - Lustre, Lustre 2.7, DNE Phase 1
  - Infiniband, FDR-14, fat-tree, blocking 2:2:1
- A taxonomy is needed – but overkill so far
  - Approach: check existing tags and manually fix tag incompatibility
Tracking Data Across Multiple Years

Strategy

- Every begin of a year, systems from the last list are copied over
- Decommission: 5 years after installation, systems are removed from the list

Dealing with hardware upgrades

- Procurement in phases: a small system is delivered first, later a big one
  - If both systems work as one big system, you can first add “NAME phase 1”, then later add the system “NAME”
    - Combine the characteristics
  - If not, then you can keep “NAME phase 1” and “NAME phase 2” systems
- Minor upgrades: e.g., more storage, more compute nodes
  - Just update the system characteristics of this year’s supercomputer
  - Keep the older lists as they are
Overview

Wiki features

- Table view with selectable columns
- Visualization with flexible metrics selection/aggregation
- More visualizations to come for multi-year analysis

Demo
Some More Analysis: Relationship storage capacity and compute

- On 25 systems that are currently in the list
- Correlation storage cap. vs. memory capacity = 0.63
- compute peak = 0.13
- Mean(storage/mem capacity) = 45.6
Discussion

Content provided by the wiki

- Listing of events (CFP Wiki for storage?)
- Collecting performance measurements for the individual benchmarks
- Embed recent publications, link to each group or ResearchGate?
- Something missing?
- Taxonomy for tags?

Steering of the organization

- Use the contribute mailinglist; everybody can submit suggestions
- Allow participants to vote on major changes?
- Should a steering committee be established?
The Virtual Institute for I/O is a new community hub

- Open to everybody and free to join

It contains information about

- Tools
- Research groups

It hosts the High-Performance Storage List (HPSL)

- Covers many metrics and allows flexible visualization
- Will track metrics across years
- Can be updated by members

You are welcome to participate