



### **POSIX, and What Comes Next**

Andreas Dilger, Principal Lustre Architect

## POSIX Is Really Old



### Original interfaces developed with Unix in the 1970s

- Fragmentation as Unix grew and changed in the 1980s
- Needed a standard for interoperability during "Unix Wars"
- POSIX has been the standard IO interface for decades
  - Hasn't changed significantly in many years, but very widely available
  - Provides the lowest IO common denominator for apps and user tools
  - Consistent behavior means that applications can run everywhere



- Avoid data silos by exporting filesystem with (mostly) POSIX semantics to other nodes
- "Mostly POSIX" can be important, but *different* parts of POSIX needed for different applications
- POSIX consistency can be a bottleneck for some workloads
  - Serialized directory operations, write/read ordering, etc. can slow down performance



## Where Are We Now?



### An explosion of new IO interfaces for various special needs

- New storage systems have their own IO APIs (HDFS, S3, DAOS, ...)
- Useful for some workloads, but needs significant application investment
- Specialization ties applications to storage system, loses portability
- Higher-level libraries abstract new interfaces, but also many libraries

### Leveraging hardware speedups needs optimization

- Lower storage latency, higher bandwidth
- Many cores, more and faster network interfaces
- A rising hardware tide lifts all software, but not equally
  Leaves "stranded" performance behind
- Software needs to continually adapt to address bottlenecks
  Finer-grained threading, locking, concurrency, new interfaces



HOW INTERFACES PROLIFERATE: (SEE: A/C CHARGERS, CHARACTER ENCODINGS, INSTANT MESSAGING, ETC.)



# How To Move Beyond Aging POSIX Standard?



### Embrace and Extend

- Some tries at HPC extensions (stat\_lite(), open\_by\_handle(), ...)
- Didn't make it into official POSIX standard, but were added to Linux

### Linux provides de-facto standard for new interfaces

- New IO interfaces are being added incrementally
- Sometimes adopted from other OSes (BSD, Solaris, ...)
- open\_by\_handle(), name\_to\_handle\_at(), fallocate(), copy\_file\_range(), pwritev2()
- \*\_at(), statx(), O\_TMPFILE, FIEMAP, SEEK\_HOLE/DATA, ...
- DAX for memory load-store access to persistent memory
  - Used by SPDK to provide access to NVRAM managed by ext4/XFS
- Asynchronous data AIO/DIO via libaio
  - Originally used by databases, but could be leveraged by any tools with a lot of concurrent IO
- Asynchronous data and metadata operations via io\_uring with growing capabilities
  - Provides may POSIX syscall equivalents with completion callbacks, including some metadata syscalls



## What Happens in the Future?



### POSIX continues to be the common interface going forward

- Important for interoperability during "IO Interface Wars"
- Protects significant investment in developed applications and tools
- By necessity, most storage systems must also provide a POSIX interface
- Sometimes bottleneck is in implementation, not POSIX
  - Serialized single directory operations is Linux VFS implementation limit

### > API *extensions* for apps with special performance needs

- Specialized interfaces *opt-in* when/where applications need it
- Easier to relax strong POSIX semantics by request than miss them and cause corruption/bugs
- Applications can leverage new APIs via common libraries or directly for performance reasons
- Data continues to be accessible via standard POSIX APIs/tools after creation/processing



